**peer 1 →** Nitpicks: I would really like to see some deeper interpretation of the model. I get that these particular predictors are a result of your step-wise selection process, but it is kind of confusing: What is the relationship between QSEC and WT? Why not include their interaction? And why not check the model for variance inflation and over/underfitting? Also, are you reporting \*adjusted\* R squared, or...? It would have been better if you put all the code in the attachment along with the figures. And a regression equation would have been nice, so I wouldn't have to write it myself ;-) Apart from these, great work. Keep it up!

**peer 2 →** Your work was very well elaborated, you answered the two questions requested by the problem , also you analyzed the coefficients and residues of the regression fairly and made a good conclusion. As feedback I would like to mention that the literature provides differents multiple regression models and for me was not clear why you choose that one.

**peer 3 →** I like your approach. I would have liked to see why you chose the final model you chose, comparared with the one you omitted (an anova, for example would have been nice) or some other test of that sort. One more thing, you took three pages for the main report, instead of the max of 2 required.

**peer 4 →** The student correctly answered both questions. He was accurate with assumptions and conclusions. The report is clear, and includes all the needed R formulas to reproduce it at will. He addressed all the issues and included all the important notes. The report is clean and nicely designed. It is just good work.